arXiv:1408.0618v3 [math.AP] 21 Jan 2016

# Application of Mountain Pass Theorem to superlinear equations with fractional Laplacian controlled by distributed parameters and boundary data

Dorota Bors

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science

University of Lodz

ul. S. Banacha 22, 90-238 Łódź, Poland

email: bors@math.uni.lodz.pl

**Abstract**

In the paper we consider a boundary value problem involving a differential equation with the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ for $\alpha \in (1,2)$ and some superlinear and subcritical nonlinearity $G_z$ provided with a nonhomogeneous Dirichlet exterior boundary condition. Some sufficient conditions under which the set of weak solutions to the boundary value problem is nonempty and depends continuously in the Painlevé-Kuratowski sense on distributed parameters and exterior boundary data are stated. The proofs of the existence results rely on the Mountain Pass Theorem. The application of the continuity results to some optimal control problem is also provided.

*Key words and phrases.* boundary value problems, fractional Laplacian, stability, mountain pass theorem.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 34A08, 35A15, 35B30, 93D05.

## 1 Introduction

The problems with the fractional Laplacian attracted in recent years a lot of attention as they naturally arise in various areas of applications. The fractional Laplacian naturally appears in probabilistic framework as well as in mathematical finance as infinitesimal generators of stable Lévy processes [1, 7, 8, 9, 48]. One can find the problems involving the fractional Laplacian in mechanics and in elastostatics, to mention only, a Signorini obstacle problem originating from linear elasticity [6, 18, 19]. Then concerning fluid mechanics and hydrodynamics the nonlocal fractional Laplacian appears, for instance, in the quasi-geostrophic fractional Navier-Stokes equation [21] and in the hydrodynamic model of the flow in some porous media [10, 34, 46, 47].

In the paper we consider problems modelled by the differential equation with the fractional Laplacian $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ and some nonlinearity $G_z$ of the form

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} z(x) = G_z(x, z(x), u(x)) \text{ in } \Omega \tag{1}$$

with the nonhomogeneous Dirichlet exterior condition

$$z(x) = v(x) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega \tag{2}$$

where $\alpha \in (1,2)$ is fixed, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ for $n \geq 2$ is a bounded domain with a Lipschitz boundary, $G_z$ is the partial derivative of the function $G$ with respect to $z$ variable which is a suitable Carathéodory function, $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m$, $m \geq 1$, is a distributed parameter, $v : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is boundary data and the fractional Laplace operator $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}$ is defined like, for example, in [42] as

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} z(x) = c(n,\alpha) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{2z(x) - z(x+y) - z(x-y)}{|y|^{n+\alpha}} dy \tag{3}$$

where $c(n,\alpha)$ is a positive normalizing constant depending only on $\alpha$ and $n$ like in [9, 15, 47] defined as $c(n,\alpha) = \Gamma((n+\alpha)/2) / \left(|\Gamma(-\alpha/2)| \pi^{n/2} 2^{1-\alpha}\right) = \alpha\Gamma((n+\alpha)/2) / \left(\Gamma(1-\alpha/2) \pi^{n/2} 2^{2-\alpha}\right)$, cf. also other normalizations as in [24]. By using variational methods in an appropriate abstract framework developed by Servadei and Valdinoci [40], first of all, we prove the existence results to $(1)-(2)$ for a certain class of boundary data and distributed parameters. Without going into details we examine the existence of the weak solution $z$ of $(1)-(2)$ such that $z - v \in X_0$ where $v \in \mathcal{V} \subset X \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $u \in \mathcal{U} \subset L^\infty$. Next, we address the stability issue for problem $(1)-(2)$. By stability here we mean the continuous dependence of solutions $z$ on distributed parameters $u$ and boundary data $v$. It is possible to prove that under some suitable assumptions, for an arbitrary pair $(u,v)$ there exists a weak solution $z_{u,v}$ to problem $(1)-(2)$ which is stable with respect to the distributed parameters $u$ and the boundary data $v$. In general, a weak solution is not unique and therefore by stability here we understand upper semicontinous dependence of sets of weak solutions $\mathcal{S}^z_{u,v}$ to problem $(1)-(2)$ on distributed parameters $u$ and boundary data $v$. In other words, we prove that $z_{u,v} \to z_{u_0,v_0}$ in $X \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, if solutions are unique, which means that $\emptyset \neq \operatorname{Lim\,sup} \mathcal{S}^z_{u,v} \subset \mathcal{S}^z_{u_0,v_0}$ in $X \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, in general case, provided that $u$ tends to $u_0$ in $L^\infty$ and $v$ tends to $v_0$ in $X \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The main stability result for problem $(1)-(2)$ is a direct consequence of Theorem 5.2 presented in Section 5.

It should be noted that the weak formulation of system (1) with homogeneous exterior boundary condition corresponds to the Euler-Lagrange equation for the following integral functional

$$F(z) = \frac{c(n,a)}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \frac{|z(x) - z(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}} dxdy - \int_\Omega G(x, z(x), u(x)) dx \tag{4}$$

where $z \in X_0$, cf. [39]. The above functional is referred to as the functional of action or the functional of energy. On the function $G$ we impose, besides some technical, growth and regularity assumptions, the following superlinearity assumption

$$a < pG(x,z,u) \leq zG_z(x,z,u) \tag{5}$$

which is satisfied for some $a > 0$, $p > 2$ and $|z|$ sufficiently large. This condition guarantees that problem $(1)-(2)$ can be referred to as a superlinear exterior boundary value problem and as illustrated in Remark 5.7 the nonlinear functional (4), in general, can be unbounded from above and below. For that reason we cannot adopt the approach to the existence and stability issue of Dirichlet problem involving the fractional Laplacian presented for example in [12] where the coercive functional bounded from below was studied, while in [25] only the linear case was treated.

In general, in the theory of boundary value problems and its applications we consider, first of all, the problem of the existence of a solution and next questions of stability, uniqueness, smoothness, asymptotics etc.. The problem of existence of solutions to equation (1) with the homogenous Dirichlet boundary condition corresponding to critical point of mountain pass type was considered for example in the recent papers [26, 39, 40]. For more references on the existence results for problems involving nonlocal fractional Laplacian equation

with subcritical nonlinearities, see, for example [38] as well as [5, 22] for problems with critical nonlinearities. Moreover, the asymptotically linear case was investigated in [27] whereas in [33] one can find a bifurcation result in the fractional setting. We also refer the interested reader to papers [4, 10, 15, 16, 17, 20, 25, 30, 41] for other results related to the fractional Laplacian. In the present paper we apply to the functional defined in (4) the renowned Mountain Pass Theorem presented, for example, in [32, 35, 45] which enables us to obtain the existence result for problem related to $(1)-(2)$ similarly as in [39, 40].

As far as the continuous dependence results of solutions on parameters and boundary data for equation (1) are concerned, up to our best knowledge, the subject in fractional setting seems to have received almost no attention in the literature. Some continuous dependence results for homogenous Dirichlet boundary problem involving the fractional Laplacian one can find in [12] where coercive case is examined by the direct method of calculus of variations. Differentiable continuous dependence on parameters, or in other words robustness result are presented in [13] where the application of theorem on diffeomorphism leads to the stability result for the problem involving one-dimensional fractional Laplacian with zero boundary condition. In the present paper we obtain the existence and the continuous dependence results for the exterior boundary value problem involving the equation with the fractional Laplacian by adopting the approach presented in [11] were superlinear elliptic boundary value with the nonhomogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition was examined.

The structure of the paper reads as follows. Section 2 contains some useful information on functional spaces introduced in [40] by Servadei and Valdinoci with an appropriate extension. The variational formulation of the problem and some standing assumptions are presented in Section 3, whereas in Section 4, our attention is focused on proving some auxiliary lemmas which are of a paramount importance to the rest of the paper. Some sufficient condition for the existence and continuous dependence of solutions to the exterior boundary value problem involving the equation with the fractional Laplacian on distributed parameters and boundary data can be found in Section 5. Finally, the application of the stability result leads to the existence of the optimal solution to the control problem described by $(1)-(2)$ with a integral performance index expressed by some cost functional as asserted in Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.2 in Section 6. The proof of these theorems relies in an essential way on the continuous dependence results from Section 5.

## 2 Functional setup

In this section we introduce the notation and give some preliminary results which will be useful in the sequel. We now recall, following [5, 14, 24, 36, 37], the definition of the classical fractional Sobolev space. Let $D$ be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^n$. For $\alpha \in (1,2)$, by $H^{\alpha/2}(D)$, we denote the following space

$$H^{\alpha/2}(D)=\left\{z\in L^2(D):\frac{z(x)-z(y)}{|x-y|^{(n+\alpha)/2}}\in L^2(D\times D)\right\} \tag{6}$$

where $D\subset\mathbb{R}^n$ for $n>\alpha$ is a general, possibly unbounded, open domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ with suitably smooth boundary, for example Lipschitz (in our case $D=\Omega$ or $D=\mathbb{R}^n$). In the literature, fractional Sobolev spaces are also referred to as Aronszajn, Gagliardo or Slobodeckij spaces, associated with the names of the ones who introduced them almost simultaneously, see [2, 28, 44].
The space $H^{\alpha/2}(D)$ is a Hilbert space placed between $L^2(D)$ and $H^1(D)$ endowed with the norm

$$\|z\|_{H^{\alpha/2}(D)}=\|z\|_{L^2(D)}+\left(\int\limits_{D\times D}\frac{|z(x)-z(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}}dxdy\right)^{1/2}. \tag{7}$$

$H_0^{\alpha/2}(D)$ can be defined as completion of $C_0^\infty(D)$ with respect to the norm in $H^{\alpha/2}(D)$ or $H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and one can extend the functions from $H_0^{\alpha/2}(D)$ with 0 to $\mathbb{R}^n$ as presented in [25]. It should be emphasized that for domains with non-Lipschitz boundary or for $\alpha \in (0,1]$ various definitions of the space of the fractional order might lead to non-equivalent formulations, for more details see, for example [10, 25, 34].

Due to the nonlocal character of the fractional Laplacian, we will consider spaces $X^{\alpha/2}$, $X_0^{\alpha/2}$ introduced in [40] and denoted therein by $X$, $X_0$, respectively. However, in the paper we shall work with the specific kernel of the form $K(x) = |x|^{-(n+\alpha)}$. Let $\Omega$ be bounded domain with a Lipschitz boundary and denote by $Q$ the following set

$$Q = \mathbb{R}^{2n} \backslash \left( (\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega) \times (\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega) \right).$$

We define

$$X^{\alpha/2} = \left\{ z : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R} : z|_\Omega \in L^2(\Omega) \text{ and } \frac{z(x) - z(y)}{|x-y|^{(n+\alpha)/2}} \in L^2(Q) \right\}$$

with the norm

$$\|z\|_{X^{\alpha/2}} = \|z\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + [z]_{n,\alpha} = \|z\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \left( \int_Q \frac{|z(x) - z(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}} dxdy \right)^{1/2}. \tag{8}$$

For the proof that $\|\cdot\|_{X^{\alpha/2}}$ is a norm on $X^{\alpha/2}$, see, for instance, [40]. Obviously, $Q \supsetneq \Omega \times \Omega$ and it implies that $X^{\alpha/2}$ and $H^{\alpha/2}(\Omega)$ are not equivalent as the norms (7) and (8) are not the same. We also consider the linear subspace of $X^{\alpha/2}$

$$X_0^{\alpha/2} = \left\{ z \in X^{\alpha/2} : z = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega \right\}$$

with the norm

$$\|z\|_{X_0^{\alpha/2}} = \left( \int_Q \frac{|z(x) - z(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}} dxdy \right)^{1/2}. \tag{9}$$

We remark that $X^{\alpha/2}$, $X_0^{\alpha/2}$ are nonempty, since, by [41, Lemma 11], $C_0^2(\Omega) \subseteq X_0^{\alpha/2}$. Moreover, the space $X_0^{\alpha/2}$ is a Hilbert space, for the proof of this, see,[25, Lemma 2.3] or [40, Lemma 7] and the inner product has the form

$$(z_1, z_2)_{X_0^{\alpha/2}} = \left( \int_Q \frac{(z_1(x) - z_1(y))(z_2(x) - z_2(y))}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}} dxdy \right)^{1/2}.$$

Furthermore, we have:

- $X^{\alpha/2} \subset H^{\alpha/2}(\Omega)$, cf. [25, Example 2], [40, Lemma 5]
- $H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset X^{\alpha/2}$, cf. [25, Remark 2.2],
- $X_0^{\alpha/2} \subset H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap H_0^{\alpha/2}(\Omega)$, cf. [40, Lemma 5],[25, Remark 2.2], [43].

In order to consider nonhomogenous exterior boundary data we assume that these values are prescribed by a function $v : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$. For the functional treatment of this problem we need a modification of the space $X^{\alpha/2}$ that turns this normed space into a Hilbert space with the appropriate inner product. For that reason we define the following space

$$Y^{\alpha/2} = X^{\alpha/2} \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

with the norm

$$\|z\|_{Y^{\alpha/2}} = \|z\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left( \int\limits_Q \frac{|z(x) - z(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}} dxdy \right)^{1/2}. \tag{10}$$

By analogy with the proof of Lemma 2.3 in [25] or the proof of Lemma 7 in [40] it can be seen that this space is a separable Hilbert space with the inner product defined by

$$(z_1, z_2)_{Y^{\alpha/2}} = (z_1, z_2)_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + (z_1, z_2)_{X_0^{\alpha/2}}. \tag{11}$$

Immediately, from the definition we have the following inclusions

$$H^{\alpha/2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset Y^{\alpha/2} \subset X^{\alpha/2}.$$

It is worth reminding the reader that for a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with a Lipschitz boundary, the space $X_0^{\alpha/2}$ is compactly embedded into $L^s(\Omega)$ for $s \in [1, 2_\alpha^*)$ where $2_\alpha^* = 2n/(n-\alpha)$ and the inequality holds

$$\|z\|_{L^s(\Omega)} \leq C \|z\|_{X_0^{\alpha/2}} \tag{12}$$

for $n > \alpha$ and any $z \in X_0^{\alpha/2}$, cf. Lemma 8 in [40] or Corollary 7.2 in [24].
For further details on the fractional Sobolev spaces we refer the reader to [24] and the references therein, while for other details on $X^{\alpha/2}$ and $X_0^{\alpha/2}$ we refer to [41], where these functional spaces were introduced and various properties of these spaces were proved.

# 3 Variational formulation of the problem and standing assumptions

In the paper we shall consider a problem involving a weak formulation of the following equation with the fractional Laplacian of the form

$$\begin{cases} (-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} z(x) = G_z(x, z(x), u(x)) \text{ in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n \\ z(x) = v(x) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

where the exterior boundary condition will be ascertained by claiming that $z - v \in X_0^{\alpha/2}$, $G_z : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $n > \alpha$ is a bounded domain with a Lipschitz boundary. Let $v_0$ be a fixed element from the space $Y^{\alpha/2}$. By $\mathcal{V}$ we denote the set of all boundary data $v$ such that

$$\mathcal{V} = \left\{ v \in Y^{\alpha/2} : \|v - v_0\|_{Y^{\alpha/2}} \leq l_1 \right\}$$

for $l_1 > 0$ and $\mathcal{U}$ denotes the set of distributed parameters $u$ of the form

$$\mathcal{U} = \{ u \in L^\infty : u(x) \in U \subset \mathbb{R}^m \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega \text{ and } \|u\|_{L^\infty} \leq l_2 \}$$

for $l_2 > 0$ and some subset $U$ of $\mathbb{R}^m$ with $m \geq 1$.
Besides, one additionally require that the mapping $v$ is chosen such that $v \in \left( X_0^{\alpha/2} \right)^\perp$, while we have the following orthogonal decomposition

$$Y^{\alpha/2} = X_0^{\alpha/2} \oplus \left( X_0^{\alpha/2} \right)^\perp. \tag{14}$$

As it was announced we look for a weak solution of (13) such that $z-v\in X_0^{\alpha/2}$. Let $w=z-v$, then the problem in (13) can be rewritten in the following homogenized form

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}w(x)+(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2}v(x)=G_w(x,(w+v)(x),u(x)) \text{ in } \Omega\subset\mathbb{R}^n\\ w(x)=0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n\backslash\Omega.\end{array}\right. \tag{15}$$

Next, we say that $w\in X_0^{\alpha/2}$ is a weak solution or an energy solution to (15) if the identity

$$\begin{aligned}&c(n,\alpha)\int\limits_Q\frac{(w(x)-w(y))(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}}dxdy+c(n,\alpha)\int\limits_Q\frac{(v(x)-v(y))(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}}dxdy\\&=\int\limits_\Omega G_w(x,(w+v)(x),u(x))\varphi(x)\,dx\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

holds for every function $\varphi\in X_0^{\alpha/2}$. Then the functional of action defined on $X_0^{\alpha/2}$ reads as

$$\begin{aligned}F_{u,v}(w)=c(n,\alpha)&\left(\int\limits_Q\frac{|w(x)-w(y)|^2}{2|x-y|^{n+\alpha}}dxdy+\int\limits_Q\frac{(v(x)-v(y))(w(x)-w(y))}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}}dxdy\right)\\&-\int\limits_\Omega G(x,(w+v)(x),u(x))\,dx\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

and is related to $F$ defined by (4) by

$$F_{u,v}(w)=F(w+v)-c(n,\alpha)\int\limits_Q\frac{|v(x)-v(y)|^2}{2|x-y|^{n+\alpha}}dxdy.$$

On the function $G$ we shall impose the following conditions:

(C1) $G$, $G_z$ are Carathéodory functions, i.e. they are measurable with respect to $x$ for any $(z,u)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^m$ and continuous with respect to $(z,u)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^m$ for a.e. $x\in\Omega$;

(C2) for any bounded subset $U_0\subset U$, there exists $c>0$ such that

$$|G(x,z,u)|\le c(1+|z|^s),\ |G_z(x,z,u)|\le c\left(1+|z|^{s-1}\right),$$

for $z\in\mathbb{R}$, $u\in U_0$ and a.e. $x\in\Omega$ , where $s\in(2,2_\alpha^*)$ with $2_\alpha^*=2n/(n-\alpha)$ for $n>\alpha$ and $\alpha\in(1,2)$;

(C3) there exist $p>2$, $a>0$ and $R>0$ such that

$$a<pG(x,z,u)\le zG_z(x,z,u)$$

for a.e. $x\in\Omega$ , any $u\in U$ and $|z|\ge R$;

(C4) there exist $\zeta>0$ and $0<b<\frac{c(n,\alpha)}{2}$ such that

$$\left|G(x,z,u)+\frac{c(n,\alpha)}{2}z^2\right|\le\frac{b}{2}|z-v_0(x)|^2$$

for $|z|\le\zeta$, $u\in U$ and a.e. $x\in\Omega$ and $\operatorname{ess\,sup}|v_0|<\zeta$;

(C5) for any $u_0 \in U$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$|G(x,z,u_1) - G(x,z,u_2)| \leq c\left(1 + |z|^2\right)|u_1 - u_2|$$
$$|G_z(x,z,u_1) - G_z(x,z,u_2)| \leq c(1 + |z|)|u_1 - u_2|$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$, any $z \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u_1$, $u_2 \in U$ such that $|u_1 - u_0| < \varepsilon$ and $|u_2 - u_0| < \varepsilon$.

In short, conditions $(C1) - (C4)$, as we shall demonstrate, guarantee the existence of weak solution to problem (13) corresponding to the critical points of mountain pass type of the associated functional of action. If, additionally, condition $(C5)$ is satisfied, it is feasible to prove that these solutions depend continuously (or in general case upper semicontinuously) on distributed parameter $u$ and boundary data $v$ in appropriate topologies.

# 4 Verification of Mountain Pass Theorem assumptions

In this section we focus our attention on proving some auxiliary results which are of a key importance to the rest of the paper. First of all, we recall some definitions. Let $\mathcal{I} : E \to \mathbb{R}$ be a functional of $C^1-$class defined on a real Banach space $E$. A point $w \in E$ is a critical point of the functional $\mathcal{I}$ if $\mathcal{I}'(w) = 0$. Moreover, a value $c = \mathcal{I}(w)$ is referred to as a critical value of the functional $\mathcal{I}$ related to a critical point $w$.

In what follows we will need some compactness properties of the functional $\mathcal{I}$ guaranteeing for example by the Palais-Smale condition. Now we recall what this means. A sequence $\{w_k\} \subset E$ is referred to as a Palais-Smale sequence for a functional $\mathcal{I}$ if for some $C > 0$, any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $|\mathcal{I}(w_k)| \leq C$ and $\mathcal{I}'(w_k) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. We say that $\mathcal{I}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition if any Palais-Smale sequence possesses a convergent subsequence in $E$. For more details on the Palais-Smale condition we refer the reader to Chapter 4.2 in book [32] by Mawhin and Willem.

In this section we shall use, as in [11], the following version of the Mountain Pass Theorem, cf. [32, 45].

**Theorem 4.1** *If*
*(a) there exist $\omega_0, \omega_1 \in E$ and a bounded neighborhood $B$ of $\omega_0$, such that $\omega_1 \in E \setminus \overline{B}$,*
*(b) $\inf_{\omega \in \partial B} \mathcal{I}(\omega) > \max\{\mathcal{I}(\omega_0), \mathcal{I}(\omega_1)\}$,*
*(c) $c = \inf_{g \in M} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \mathcal{I}(g(t))$, where $M = \{g \in C([0,1], E) : g(0) = \omega_0,\ g(1) = \omega_1\}$,*
*(d) $\mathcal{I}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition,*
*then $c$ is a critical value of $\mathcal{I}$ and $c > \max\{\mathcal{I}(\omega_0), \mathcal{I}(\omega_1)\}$.*

Throughout this section we shall use the following notation and definitions. First,

$$M_r = \{g \in C([0,1], B_r) : g(0) = \omega_0,\ g(1) = \omega_1\}$$

where $\omega_0, \omega_1 \in B_r$ and $B_r = \left\{w \in X_0^{\alpha/2} : \|w\|_{X_0^{\alpha/2}} < r\right\}$ and $r > 0$. Next, for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let

$$\mathcal{I}_k : X_0^{\alpha/2} \to \mathbb{R}$$

denote an arbitrary sequence of functionals of $C^1-$class, and $c_k(r)$ be the value defined by setting

$$c_k(r) = \inf_{g \in M_r} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \mathcal{I}_k(g(t)). \tag{18}$$

Moreover, for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $W_k(r)$ denote the set of all critical points in $B_r$ corresponding to the value $c_k(r)$, i.e.

$$W_k(r) = \{w \in B_r : \mathcal{I}_k(w) = c_k(r) \text{ and } \mathcal{I}_k'(w) = 0\}. \tag{19}$$

In what follows, we shall establish the properties of the upper limit of sets $W_k(r)$ in order to state stability results for the problem under consideration. Let us recall that by the Painlevé-Kuratowski upper limit of sets or, in short, the upper limit of sets $S_k$, denoted by $\operatorname{Lim\,sup} S_k$, we understand the set of all cluster points with respect to the strong topology of $E$ of a sequence $\{s_k\}$ such that $s_k \in S_k$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. In particular, $\operatorname{Lim\,sup} W_k(r)$ is the upper limit of the sets $W_k(r)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, hence the set of all cluster points with respect to the strong topology of $X_0^{\alpha/2}$ of a sequence $\{w_k\}$ such that $w_k \in W_k(r)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For more details on the Painlevé-Kuratowski upper limits of sets we refer the reader to the book [3] by Aubin and Frankowska.

Now we prove, under some assumptions imposed on the sequences $\{\mathcal{I}_k\}$, $\{\mathcal{I}'_k\}$, that the upper limit in $X_0^{\alpha/2}$ of sets $W_k(r)$ defined in (19) is nonempty and is a subset of $W_0(r)$.

**Lemma 4.2** *Assume that*
*(a) for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the functional $\mathcal{I}_k$, is of $C^1-$class*
*(b) the functional $\mathcal{I}_0$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition,*
*(c) the sequences $\{\mathcal{I}_k\}$, $\{\mathcal{I}'_k\}$ tend uniformly on the ball $B_r$ to $\mathcal{I}_0$, $\mathcal{I}'_0$, respectively,*
*(d) for any sufficiently large $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, the sets $W_k(r)$ are nonempty.*
*Then any sequence $\{w_k\}$ such that $w_k \in W_k(r)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ is relatively compact in $X_0^{\alpha/2}$ and $\operatorname{Lim\,sup} W_k(r) \subset W_0(r)$.*

**Proof.** In the proof we shall follow the lines of the proof of Lemma 3.1 from [11]. First of all, one can prove that $\operatorname{Lim\,sup} W_k(r)$ is not empty. To do this, let $\{w_k\}$ be an arbitrary sequence such that $w_k \in W_k(r)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Such a sequence exists by $(d)$. Moreover, by $(c)$, $0 = \lim_{k\to\infty} \mathcal{I}'_0(w_k)$ as $\mathcal{I}'_k(w_k) = 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Furthermore, $\|w_k\|_{X_0^{\alpha/2}} < r$ hence the sequence $\mathcal{I}_0(w_k)$ is bounded. Since $\mathcal{I}_0$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition, as assumed in $(b)$, the sequence $\{w_k\}$ is relatively compact in $X_0^{\alpha/2}$, that is, $\operatorname{Lim\,sup} W_k(r)$ is not empty.
Next, again, by $(c)$, we get

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} c_k(r) = c_0(r). \tag{20}$$

Moreover for any sequence $\{w_k\}$ such that $w_k \in W_k(r)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\mathcal{I}_0(w_k) - \mathcal{I}_k(w_k) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. From the convergence in (20), we conclude that $\lim_{k\to\infty} \mathcal{I}_0(w_k) = c_0(r)$. Since the set $\operatorname{Lim\,sup} W_k(r)$ is not empty, choose $\tilde{w}$ from this set, so that $\tilde{w}$ is a cluster point of some sequence $\{w_k\}$ such that $w_k \in W_k(r)$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, passing to a subsequence, if necessary, we may assume that $w_k \to \tilde{w}$ as $k \to \infty$. Suppose that $\tilde{w} \notin W_0(r)$, i.e. $\mathcal{I}_0(\tilde{w}) \neq c_0(r)$ or $\mathcal{I}'_0(\tilde{w}) \neq 0$. Let us observe that the second condition is false. Indeed, assumption $(c)$ and the first part of our proof allow us to write

$$\mathcal{I}'_0(\tilde{w}) = \lim_{k\to\infty} (\mathcal{I}'_0(w_k) - \mathcal{I}'_k(w_k)) = 0.$$

By putting $\delta = \mathcal{I}_0(\tilde{w}) - \mathcal{I}_0(w_0)$, where $w_0 \in W_0(r)$ and $\delta \neq 0$, we arrive at

$$c_k(r) - c_0(r) = [\mathcal{I}_k(w_k) - \mathcal{I}_0(w_k)] + [\mathcal{I}_0(w_k) - \mathcal{I}_0(\tilde{w})] + \delta.$$

From (20) and by $(a)$ and $(c)$, we have that $c_k(r) - c_0(r) \to 0$, $\mathcal{I}_k(w_k) - \mathcal{I}_0(w_k) \to 0$ and $\mathcal{I}_0(w_k) - \mathcal{I}_0(\tilde{w}) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$. This contradicts the fact that $\delta \neq 0$. Thus $\tilde{w} \in W_0(r)$ and consequently $\operatorname{Lim\,sup} W_k(r) \subset W_0(r)$, which concludes the proof. ■

What we need at this point of our consideration is to examine a specific form of the functional $\mathcal{I}_k$ derived from the functional of action given by (17).

Let $\{v_k\}$ be a sequence of boundary data and $\{u_k\}$ a sequence of parameters such that $\{v_k\} \in \mathcal{V}$, $\{u_k\} \in \mathcal{U}$, for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Furthermore, let $\{\mathcal{F}_k\}$ stand for the sequence of functionals of the form

$$\begin{aligned}(21)\qquad \mathcal{F}_k(w) &= F_{u_k,v_k}(w) + \int_\Omega G(x, v_k(x), u_k(x))\,dx \\ &= c(n,\alpha)\left(\int_Q \frac{|w(x)-w(y)|^2}{2|x-y|^{n+\alpha}}dxdy + \int_Q \frac{(v_k(x)-v_k(y))(w(x)-w(y))}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}}dxdy\right) \\ &- \int_\Omega G(x,(w+v_k)(x),u_k(x))\,dx + \int_\Omega G(x,v_k(x),u_k(x))\,dx\end{aligned}$$

for which we define the value

$$(22)\qquad c_k = \inf_{g\in M}\max_{t\in[0,1]} \mathcal{F}_k(g(t)),$$

where

$$M = \left\{g \in C\left([0,1], X_0^{\alpha/2}\right) : g(0) = \omega_0,\ g(1) = \omega_1\right\}$$

and $\omega_0, \omega_1 \in X_0^{\alpha/2}$.

Here and throughout the paper, for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, let $W_k$ denotes the set of critical points corresponding to the value $c_k$, that is, the set of the form

$$(23)\qquad W_k = \left\{w \in X_0^{\alpha/2} : \mathcal{F}_k(w) = c_k \text{ and } \mathcal{F}_k'(w) = 0\right\}.$$

In Section 5, we shall prove that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the set $W_k$ is not empty and the sequence of sets $\{W_k\}$ possesses nonempty upper limit in $X_0^{\alpha/2}$ such that $\operatorname{Lim\,sup} W_k \subset W_0$. In the proof of that results we need the following lemma in which the boundedness of the sequence $\{W_k\}$ is claimed.

**Lemma 4.3** *If the function $G$ satisfies conditions $(C1)-(C3)$, then for any boundary data $v_k \in \mathcal{V}$ and for any parameter $u_k \in \mathcal{U}$ there exists a ball $B_\rho = \left\{w \in X_0^{\alpha/2} : \|w\|_{X_0^{\alpha/2}} < \rho\right\}$ with $\rho > 0$ such that $W_k \subset B_\rho$.*

**Proof.** First of all, let us observe that the set of values $\{c_k : v_k \in \mathcal{V},\ u_k \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is bounded from above. Indeed, for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g(t) = (1-t)\omega_0 + t\omega_1$ on $[0,1]$, conditions $(C2)$, $(C3)$ enable us to infer that

$$\begin{aligned}c_k &= \inf_{g\in M}\max_{t\in[0,1]} \mathcal{F}_k(g(t)) \le \max_{t\in[0,1]} \mathcal{F}_k((1-t)\omega_0 + t\omega_1) \\ &\le \max_{t\in[0,1]}\left(2c(n,\alpha)\int_Q \frac{(1-t)^2|\omega_0(x)-\omega_0(y)|^2 + t^2|\omega_1(x)-\omega_1(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}}dxdy\right. \\ &+ \frac{c(n,\alpha)}{2}\int_Q \frac{|v_k(x)-v_k(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}}dxdy + \int_\Omega G(x,v_k,u_k)\,dx \\ &\left. - \int_{\Omega_t^+} G(x,(1-t)\omega_0 + t\omega_1 + v_k, u_k)\,dx - \int_{\Omega_t^-} G(x,(1-t)\omega_0 + t\omega_1 + v_k, u_k)\,dx\right) \\ &\le \max_{t\in[0,1]}\left(2c(n,\alpha)(1-t)^2\|\omega_0\|^2_{X_0^{\alpha/2}} + 2c(n,\alpha)t^2\|\omega_1\|^2_{X_0^{\alpha/2}} - \frac{a}{p}\left|\Omega_t^+\right| + c(1+R^s)\left|\Omega_t^-\right|\right) \\ &+ \frac{c(n,\alpha)}{2}\|v_k\|^2_{Y^{\alpha/2}} + c|\Omega| + d\|v_k\|^s_{Y^{\alpha/2}} \\ &\le 2c(n,\alpha)\max\left\{\|\omega_0\|^2_{X_0^{\alpha/2}}, \|\omega_1\|^2_{X_0^{\alpha/2}}\right\} + D \le \bar{c},\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$c_k \leq \bar{c}$$

where $D$, $c$, $d$, $\bar{c}$ are some constants, and the sets $\Omega_t^+$, $\Omega_t^-$ are defined as

$$\Omega_t^+ = \{x \in \Omega : |(g(t) + v_k)(x)| \geq R\}; \Omega_t^- = \{x \in \Omega : |(g(t) + v_k)(x)| < R\}.$$

Then, for any $v_k \in \mathcal{V}$, $u_k \in \mathcal{U}$ and $w \in W_k$ we have by $(C2)$ and $(C3)$

$$\begin{aligned}
p\bar{c} \geq pc_k &= p\mathcal{F}_k(w) - \langle \mathcal{F}_k'(w), w + v_k \rangle \\
&= c(n,\alpha) \tfrac{p-2}{2} \|w\|_{X_0^{\alpha/2}}^2 + c(n,\alpha)(p-2) \int\limits_Q \frac{(w(x) - w(y))(v_k(x) - v_k(y))}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}} dxdy \\
&- c(n,\alpha) \int\limits_Q \frac{|v_k(x) - v_k(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}} dxdy \\
&+ \int\limits_\Omega (-pG(x, w + v_k, u_k) + pG(x, v_k, u_k) + G_w(x, w + v_k, u_k)(w + v_k)) dx \\
&\geq c(n,\alpha) \left( \frac{p-2}{2} \|w\|_{X_0^{\alpha/2}}^2 - (p-2) \|w\|_{H_0^{\alpha/2}} [v_k]_{n,\alpha} - [v_k]_{n,\alpha}^2 \right) \\
&+ \int\limits_{\Omega^+} (-pG(x, w + v_k, u_k) + pG(x, v_k, u_k) + G_w(x, w + v_k, u_k)(w + v_k)) dx \\
&+ \int\limits_{\Omega^-} (-pG(x, w + v_k, u_k) + pG(x, v_k, u_k) + G_w(x, w + v_k, u_k)(w + v_k)) dx \\
&\geq c(n,\alpha) \left( \frac{p-2}{2} \|w\|_{X_0^{\alpha/2}}^2 - (p-2) \|w\|_{X_0^{\alpha/2}} [v_k]_{n,\alpha} - [v_k]_{n,\alpha}^2 \right) - pc \left|\Omega^+\right| - pc \|v_k\|_{L^s(\Omega)}^s + d \\
&\geq c(n,\alpha) \frac{p-2}{2} \|w\|_{X_0^{\alpha/2}}^2 - D_1 \|w\|_{X_0^{\alpha/2}} - D_2
\end{aligned}$$

where $D_1$, $D_2$ are some positive constants and $\Omega^+$, $\Omega^-$ are some subsets of $\Omega$ of the form

$$\Omega^+ = \{x \in \Omega : |(w + v_k)(x)| \geq R\}, \ \Omega^- = \{x \in \Omega : |(w + v_k)(x)| < R\}.$$

and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a dual pair in $X_0^{\alpha/2}$. Thus

$$p\bar{c} \geq c(n,\alpha) \frac{p-2}{2} \|w\|_{X_0^{\alpha/2}}^2 + D_1 \|w\|_{X_0^{\alpha/2}} + D_2. \tag{24}$$

By condition $(C3)$, $p - 2 > 0$, and consequently there exists $\rho > 0$ such that $w \in B_\rho$. Hence, $W_k \subset B_\rho$ for any $v_k \in \mathcal{V}$ and $u_k \in \mathcal{U}$, which completes the proof. ■

After necessary shift resulting in (15) and $\mathcal{F}_k$ defined by (21), we can assume, without loss of generality, that from this point $\omega_0 = 0$. It is possible to demonstrate that there exist a bounded neighborhood $B$ of $\omega_0$ in $X_0^{\alpha/2}$ and some point $\omega_1 \notin \overline{B}$ such that the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 are fulfilled for $\mathcal{F}_k$. Indeed, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.4** *Suppose that conditions $(C1) - (C4)$ are satisfied, the sequence $\{v_k\} \subset \mathcal{V}$ tends to $v_0$ in $Y^{\alpha/2}$ and the sequence $\{u_k\} \subset \mathcal{U}$ tends to $u_0$ in $L^\infty$. Then for any sufficiently large $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $v_k \in \mathcal{V}$ and $u_k \in \mathcal{U}$, there exist a ball $B_\eta \subset X_0^{\alpha/2}$ and an element $\omega_1 \notin \overline{B_\eta}$ such that $\inf_{w \in \partial B_\eta} \mathcal{F}_k(w) > 0$ and $\mathcal{F}_k(\omega_1) < 0$, where $B_\eta = \left\{ w \in X_0^{\alpha/2} : \|w\|_{Y^{\alpha/2}} < \eta \right\}$ for $\eta > 0$.*

**Proof.** From $(C3)$, in a similar fashion as in the proof of Lemma 4 in [39], there exists a constant $a_0 > 0$ such that

$$G(x,z,u) \geq a_0 |z|^p,$$

for any $|z| \geq R$, $u \in U$, a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and $R > 0$ as in $(C3)$ with $p > 2$. On combining the above inequality with condition $(C2)$ we deduce that there exists a positive constant $a_1$ such that

$$G(x,z,u) \geq a_0 |z|^p - a_1 \tag{25}$$

for $z \in \mathbb{R}$, $u \in U$ and a.e. $x \in \Omega$, with $p \in (2, 2^*_\alpha)$. Moreover, by $(C2)$, $(C4)$ in the same way as in the proof of Lemma 3 in [40] there exist $b \in \left(0, \frac{c(n,\alpha)}{2}\right)$ and $a_2 > 0$ such that

$$\left| G(x,z,u) + \frac{c(n,\alpha)}{2} z^2 \right| \leq \frac{b}{2} |z - v_0(x)|^2 + a_2 |z - v_0(x)|^s \tag{26}$$

for any $z \in \mathbb{R}$, $u \in U$, a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and $s \in (2, 2^*_\alpha)$.

For fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the orthogonality condition $(w, v_k)_{Y^{\alpha/2}} = 0$ and inequality in (26) lead to the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_k(w) &= c(n,\alpha) \left( \int_Q \frac{|w(x) - w(y)|^2}{2|x-y|^{n+\alpha}} dxdy + \int_Q \frac{(v_k(x) - v_k(y))(w(x) - w(y))}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}} dxdy \right) \\
&\quad - \int_\Omega (G(x, w + v_k, u_k) - G(x, v_k, u_k)) dx \\
&= \tfrac{c(n,\alpha)}{2} \|w\|^2_{Y^{\alpha/2}} + c(n,\alpha)(w, v_k)_{Y^{\alpha/2}} \\
&\quad - \int_\Omega \left( G(x, w + v_k, u_k) + \tfrac{c(n,\alpha)}{2} (w + v_k)^2 \right) dx + \int_\Omega \left( G(x, v_k, u_k) + \tfrac{c(n,\alpha)}{2} v_k^2 \right) dx \\
&\geq \left( \tfrac{c(n,\alpha)}{2} - b \right) \|w\|^2_{Y^{\alpha/2}} - C_1 \|w\|^s_{Y^{\alpha/2}} - C_2 \|v_k - v_0\|^2_{Y^{\alpha/2}} - C_3 \|v_k - v_0\|^s_{Y^{\alpha/2}}
\end{aligned}$$

where constants $C_1$, $C_2$, $C_3$ are positive. Since, by $(C4)$, $b < \frac{c(n,\alpha)}{2}$ and $v_k \to v_0$ in $Y^{\alpha/2}$ while $s > 2$, it follows that there exists a constant $\eta > 0$ such that $\inf_{w \in \partial B_\eta} \mathcal{F}_k(w) \geq \varepsilon > 0$ for any $k$ sufficiently large.

To finish the proof it is enough to show that for any $v_k \in \mathcal{V}$ and $u_k \in \mathcal{U}$ there exists $\omega_1 \notin \overline{B_\eta}$ such that $\mathcal{F}_k(\omega_1) < 0$. For a fixed nonzero $w \in X_0^{\alpha/2}$ and $l > 0$, from $(C2)$ and $(C3)$ hence (25) we have the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_k(lw) &= c(n,\alpha) \left( \int_Q \frac{l^2 |w(x) - w(y)|^2}{2|x-y|^{n+\alpha}} dxdy + \int_Q \frac{l(v_k(x) - v_k(y))(w(x) - w(y))}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}} dxdy \right) \\
&\quad - \int_\Omega (G(x, lw + v_k, u_k) - G(x, v_k, u_k)) dx \\
&\leq \tfrac{c(n,\alpha)}{2} l^2 \|w\|^2_{Y^{\alpha/2}} + c(n,\alpha) l C_4 \|w\|_{Y^{\alpha/2}} - \int_\Omega (a_0 |lw + v_k|^p - a_1) dx + \int_\Omega G(x, v_k, u_k) dx \\
&\leq \tfrac{c(n,\alpha)}{2} l^2 \|w\|^2_{Y^{\alpha/2}} + c(n,\alpha) l C_4 \|w\|_{Y^{\alpha/2}} - a_0 l^p \int_\Omega \left( \left| w + \tfrac{v_k}{l} \right|^p \right) dx + C_5
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_4, C_5, a_0 > 0$. Since $p \in (2, 2^*_\alpha)$ and $a_0 > 0$ from (25) we get that $\lim_{l \to \infty} \mathcal{F}_k(lw) = -\infty$. Therefore, there exists $l_0 > 0$ such that for $\omega_1 = l_0 w$ we have $\|\omega_1\|_{Y^{\alpha/2}} \geq \eta$ and $\mathcal{F}_k(\omega_1) < 0$ for any $v_k \in \mathcal{V}$ and $u_k \in \mathcal{U}$, which proves the assertion of the lemma. ■

Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4 give that the geometry of Mountain Pass Theorem is fulfilled by $\mathcal{F}_k$. Therefore, in order to apply such Mountain Pass Theorem, we are left with checking the validity of the Palais-Smale condition. This will be accomplished in the forthcoming lemma.

**Lemma 4.5** *Suppose that conditions* $(C1)-(C4)$ *are satisfied. Then for any* $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\mathcal{F}_k$ *satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.*

**Proof.** Let $k$ be fixed and $\{w_i\}$ be a Palais-Smale sequence so that $\{\mathcal{F}_k(w_i)\}$ is bounded and $\mathcal{F}_k'(w_i) \to 0$ as $i \to \infty$. Thus, there exist constants $C_1$, $C_2 > 0$ such that $|\mathcal{F}_k(w_i)| \leq C_1$ and $\|\mathcal{F}_k'(w_i)\| \leq C_2$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$. In the same manner as in the proof of Lemma 4.3, we obtain the following estimates

$$\begin{aligned} pC_1 + C_2\|v_k\|_{Y^{\alpha/2}} + C_3\|w_i\|_{X_0^{\alpha/2}} &\geq pC_1 + C_2\|w_i + v_k\|_{Y^{\alpha/2}} \\ &\geq p\mathcal{F}_k(w_i) - \langle \mathcal{F}_k'(w_i), w_i + v_k\rangle \\ &\geq c(n,\alpha)\tfrac{p-2}{2}\|w_i\|^2_{X_0^{\alpha/2}} - D_1\|w_i\|_{X_0^{\alpha/2}} - D_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $D_1$, $D_2$ are some positive constants from the proof of Lemma 4.3 and $C_3 > 0$. Hence

$$\|w_i\|^2_{X_0^{\alpha/2}} \leq \tfrac{2}{c(n,\alpha)(p-2)}\left(C_4\|w_i\|_{X_0^{\alpha/2}} + pC_1 + C_2\|v_k\|_{Y^{\alpha/2}} + D_2\right) \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{N},$$

where $C_3 > 0$. Therefore, the sequence $\{w_i\}$ is bounded in $X_0^{\alpha/2}$ and as such it contains a subsequence, still denoted by $\{w_i\}$, such that $w_i$ tends to $w_0$ weakly in $X_0^{\alpha/2}$, since the space $X_0^{\alpha/2}$ is reflexive. From the fact that the space $X_0^{\alpha/2}$ is compactly embedded into the space $L^s(\Omega)$ with $s \in [1, 2_\alpha^*)$, we may assume after passing to a subsequence, still labelled $\{w_i\}$, that $w_i \to w_0$ in $L^s(\Omega)$. Consequently, denoting $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ a dual pair in $X_0^{\alpha/2}$, we have

$$\langle \mathcal{F}_k'(w_i) - \mathcal{F}_k'(w_0), w_i - w_0\rangle \underset{i\to\infty}{\to} 0.$$

The equality

$$\begin{aligned} &\langle \mathcal{F}_k'(w_i) - \mathcal{F}_k'(w_0), w_i - w_0\rangle \\ &= c(n,\alpha)\|w_i - w_0\|^2_{X_0^{\alpha/2}} + \int_\Omega \left(G_w(x, w_0 + v_k, u_k) - G_w(x, w_i + v_k, u_k)\right)(w_i - w_0)\,dx \end{aligned}$$

and the growth condition $(C2)$ lead by Hölder inequality to

$$\begin{aligned} &\left|\int_\Omega \left(G_w(x, w_0 + v_k, u_k) - G_w(x, w_i + v_k, u_k)\right)(w_i - w_0)\,dx\right| \\ &\leq \|w_i - w_0\|_{L^s}\left(\int_\Omega |G_w(x, w_i + v_k, u_k) - G_w(x, w_0 + v_k, u_k)|^{\frac{s}{s-1}}\,dx\right)^{\frac{s-1}{s}}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us notice that the right hand side of the above inequality tends as $i \to \infty$ to 0, by the growth condition $(C2)$ and since $w_i \to w_0$ in $L^s(\Omega)$. As a result, $w_i \to w_0$ in $X_0^{\alpha/2}$. In that way we have demonstrated that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ the functional $\mathcal{F}_k$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition guaranteeing the required compactness property. ■

# 5 The main continuity result

In this section we state and prove some sufficient conditions under which critical points of mountain pass type of the functional $\mathcal{F}_k$ defined in (21) exist and depend continuously on parameters. First of all, we state some sufficient conditions guaranteeing a uniform convergence on any ball in the space $X_0^{\alpha/2}$ of a sequence of functionals together with a sequence of their derivatives. This is in fact verification of the assumption $(b)$ of Lemma 4.2.

**Lemma 5.1** *If conditions* $(C1)$, $(C2)$, $(C5)$ *are satisfied, the sequence* $\{v_k\} \subset \mathcal{V}$ *tends to* $v_0$ *in* $Y^{\alpha/2}$ *while the sequence* $\{u_k\} \subset \mathcal{U}$ *tends to* $u_0$ *in* $L^\infty$, *then the sequences* $\{\mathcal{F}_k\}$, $\{\mathcal{F}_k'\}$ *tend uniformly on any ball from* $X_0^{\alpha/2}$ *to* $\mathcal{F}_0$ *and* $\mathcal{F}_0'$, *respectively.*

**Proof.** For any $B_\rho \subset X_0^{\alpha/2}$ and $w \in B_\rho$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\mathcal{F}_k(w) - \mathcal{F}_0(w)| \\
&\leq c(n,\alpha) \int\limits_Q \frac{((v_k - v_0)(x) - (v_k - v_0)(y))(w(x) - w(y))}{|x-y|^{n+\alpha}} dxdy \\
&+ \left| \int\limits_\Omega (G(x, v_k, u_k) - G(x, v_0, u_0))\, dx + \int\limits_\Omega (G(x, w+v_k, u_k) - G(x, w+v_0, u_0))\, dx \right| \\
&\leq c(n,\alpha)\, \rho \|v_k - v_0\|_{Y^{\alpha/2}} + \int\limits_\Omega |G(x, v_k, u_0) - G(x, v_0, u_0)|\, dx \\
&+ \int\limits_\Omega |G(x, w+v_k, u_0) - G(x, w+v_0, u_0)|\, dx + \|u_k - u_0\|_{L^\infty} \left( D_1 + D_2 \|v_k\|^2_{Y^{\alpha/2}} \right) < \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

for any fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and sufficiently large $k$. Indeed, let us observe that $v_k$ tends to $v_0$ in $Y^{\alpha/2}$. By conditions $(C1)$, $(C2)$, $(C5)$, the Krasnosielskii Theorem on continuity of Niemyckii's operator, cf. [29], implies that the right hand side of the above inequality tends to 0 for any $w \in B_\rho$. It means that the sequence $\{\mathcal{F}_k\}$ tends uniformly to $\mathcal{F}_0$ on a ball $B_\rho$.
A similar reasoning holds for the case of a uniform convergence of the sequence $\{\mathcal{F}_k'\}$ to $\mathcal{F}_0'$ on a ball from $X_0^{\alpha/2}$. Let us take any ball $B_\rho \subset X_0^{\alpha/2}$. For any $w \in B_\rho$ and $h \in X_0^{\alpha/2}$ such that $h \in B_1$ simple calculations lead to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\langle \mathcal{F}_k'(w) - \mathcal{F}_0'(w), h \rangle| \\
&\leq c(n,\alpha) \|v_k - v_0\|_{Y^{\alpha/2}} + \int\limits_\Omega |G_w(x, w+v_k, u_k)\, h - G_w(x, w+v_0, u_0)\, h|\, dx \\
&\leq c(n,\alpha) \|v_k - v_0\|_{Y^{\alpha/2}} + \left( \int\limits_\Omega |G_w(x, w+v_k, u_0) - G_w(x, w+v_0, u_0)|^{\frac{s}{s-1}}\, dx \right)^{\frac{s-1}{s}} \\
&+ \|u_k - u_0\|_{L^\infty} (D_3 + D_4 \|v_k\|_{Y^{\alpha/2}}) < \varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

for sufficiently large $k$, and the claim of the lemma follows. ■

Now we employ Lemmas 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, 5.1 and Theorem 4.1 to prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 5.2** *Suppose that the function* $G$ *satisfies conditions* $(C1)-(C5)$ *and moreover the sequence* $\{v_k\} \subset \mathcal{V}$ *tends to* $v_0$ *in* $Y^{\alpha/2}$ *and the sequence* $\{u_k\} \subset \mathcal{U}$ *tends to* $u_0$ *in* $L^\infty$. *Then*

*(i) for any $k$ large enough, the set of critical points $W_k$ of the functional $\mathcal{F}_k$ is nonempty and does not contain zero and in fact any point with zero value,*
*(ii) any sequence $\{w_k\}$ such that $w_k \in W_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, is relatively compact in $X_0^{\alpha/2}$ and* $\operatorname{Lim\,sup} W_k \subset W_0$.

**Proof.** First, we shall prove the first part of the assertion of the theorem, that is for any $k$ sufficiently large, the set of critical points $W_k$ of the functional $\mathcal{F}_k$ is nonempty and does not contain zero and any point with zero value. Obviously, the functional $\mathcal{F}_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ is of $C^1-$class on $X_0^{\alpha/2}$. Moreover, from Lemma 4.4 it follows that there exist the ball $B_\eta$ and the point $\omega_1 \in X_0^{\alpha/2}$, independent of the choice of $v_k, u_k$, such that $\omega_1 \notin \overline{B_\eta}$ and $\inf_{w \in \partial B_\eta} \mathcal{F}_k(w) > 0 = \max\{\mathcal{F}_k(0), \mathcal{F}_k(\omega_1)\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and furthermore conditions $(C2)$, $(C3)$ guarantee that the functional $\mathcal{F}_k$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ as stated in Lemma 4.5. At this point we apply the Mountain Pass Theorem 4.1, with $\omega_0 = 0$ and $c = c_k$, to deduce that for any $v_k$ and $u_k$, the set of critical points for which a critical value of the functional $\mathcal{F}_k$ denoted by $c_k$ is attained, is not empty, i.e.

$$W_k = \left\{ w \in X_0^{\alpha/2} : \mathcal{F}_k(w) = c_k \text{ and } \mathcal{F}_k'(w) = 0 \right\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Moreover, $c_k = \inf_{g \in M} \max_{t \in [0,1]} \mathcal{F}_k(g(t)) > \max\{\mathcal{F}_k(0), \mathcal{F}_k(\omega_1)\} = 0$, and therefore $w = 0$ does not belong to the set $W_k$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and actually any point with zero value.
What is left is to demonstrate that $\operatorname{Lim\,sup} W_k \neq \emptyset$ in $X_0^{\alpha/2}$ and $\operatorname{Lim\,sup} W_k \subset W_0$. This part of the assertion of our theorem follows directly from Lemma 4.2. Indeed, by invoking Lemma 4.3, we obtain that there exists a ball $B_\rho \subset X_0^{\alpha/2}$ with $\rho > 0$ such that $W_k \subset B_\rho$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and subsequently there exists a ball $B_r \subset X_0^{\alpha/2}$ with $r \geq \rho$ such that $w_1 \in B_r$, i.e. $W_k(r) = W_k$, where $W_k(r)$ is given by (19) with $\mathcal{I}_k = \mathcal{F}_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. $\mathcal{F}_0$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition and each functional $\mathcal{F}_k$ is of $C^1-$class, and corresponding set $W_k(r) = W_k$ is nonempty for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Finally, Lemma 5.1 implies that the sequences $\{\mathcal{F}_k\}, \{\mathcal{F}_k'\}$ tend uniformly on any ball from $X_0^{\alpha/2}$ to $\mathcal{F}_0$ and $\mathcal{F}_0'$, respectively. It means that the proof of the theorem is complete. ■

Let us notice that the critical value of the functional $F_{u_k,v_k}$ defined in (17) denoted by $\mathbf{c}_k$ satisfies the following relation

$$\mathbf{c}_k = c_k - \int_\Omega G(x, v_k(x), u_k(x))\, dx$$

where $c_k$ is defined in (22) as the critical value of $\mathcal{F}_k$. The set of critical points of the functional $F_{u_k,v_k}$ for which the critical value $\mathbf{c}_k$ is attained has the form

$$W_{u_k,v_k} = \left\{ w \in X_0^{\alpha/2} : F_{u_k,v_k}(w) = \mathbf{c}_k \text{ and } F_{u_k,v_k}'(w) = 0 \right\}$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Immediately from Theorem 5.2 we get the following corollaries characterizing, first of all, the set of critical points of mountain pass type of the functional of action without shift and then the set of corresponding weak solutions of the problem involving the equation with the fractional Laplacian with exterior homogenous Dirichlet boundary data.

**Corollary 5.3** *If all assumptions of Theorem 5.2 are satisfied, then for any $k$ sufficiently large the set $W_{u_k,v_k}$ is nonempty and does not contain zero and any point with zero value,* $\operatorname{Lim\,sup} W_{u_k,v_k} \neq \emptyset$ *in* $X_0^{\alpha/2}$ *and* $\operatorname{Lim\,sup} W_{u_k,v_k} \subset W_{u_0,v_0}$.

In other words, the set valued mapping

$$L^\infty \times Y^{\alpha/2} \ni (u, v) \mapsto W_{u,v} \subset X_0^{\alpha/2}$$

is upper semicontinous with respect to the norm topologies of spaces $L^{\infty}$, $Y^{\alpha/2}$ and $X_0^{\alpha/2}$.

Let us denote by $\mathcal{S}^w_{u_k,v_k}$ the set of the weak solutions to problem (13) defined in (16) corresponding to the critical value $\mathbf{c}_k$.

**Corollary 5.4** *If all assumptions of Theorem 5.2 are satisfied, then for any $k$ sufficiently large the set $\mathcal{S}^w_{u_k,v_k}$ is nonempty,* $\operatorname{Lim\,sup}\mathcal{S}^w_{u_k,v_k} \neq \emptyset$ *in* $X_0^{\alpha/2}$ *and* $\operatorname{Lim\,sup}\mathcal{S}^w_{u_k,v_k} \subset \mathcal{S}^w_{u_0,v_0}$.

Furthermore, it is easy to observe that $\mathcal{S}^z_{u_k,v_k} = \mathcal{S}^w_{u_k,v_k} + v_k$, $k \in N_0$ is a set of weak solutions to problem (1) with nonhomogenous exterior boundary data corresponding to the critical value $\mathbf{c}_k$.

**Corollary 5.5** *If all assumptions of Theorem 5.2 are satisfied, then for any $k$ the set $\mathcal{S}^z_{u_k,v_k}$ is nonempty and does not contain $v_k$,* $\operatorname{Lim\,sup}\mathcal{S}^z_{u_k,v_k} \neq \emptyset$ *in* $Y^{\alpha/2}$ *and* $\operatorname{Lim\,sup}\mathcal{S}^z_{u_k,v_k} \subset \mathcal{S}^z_{u_0,v_0}$.

**Example 5.6** *It is easy to check that the assumptions of Theorem 5.2 are satisfied by the equation*

$$\begin{cases} (-\triangle)^{3/4} z(x) = \frac{7}{2} z^{5/2}(x) - \gamma u(x) z(x) - \frac{5}{2} u(x) z^{3/2}(x) \sin^2|x| & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3 \\ z(x) = v(x) \ \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \backslash \Omega, \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

*where* $\Omega = (0,1)^3$, $u \in \mathcal{U}$ *such that*

$$\mathcal{U} = \left\{ u \in L^{\infty}(\Omega, \mathbb{R}) : u(x) \in U \subset \left( \frac{\hat{c} - b}{\gamma}, \frac{\hat{c} + b}{\gamma} \right) \ a.e. \right\}$$

*where $U$ is a bounded interval $\gamma > 0$,* $\hat{c} = c\left(3, \frac{3}{2}\right) = \frac{3\Gamma\left(\frac{9}{4}\right)}{(2\pi)^{3/2}\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right)} = \frac{15}{2^{11/2}\pi^{3/2}}$ *and $b$ is like in $(C4)$ while $v \in \mathcal{V}$ such that*

$$\mathcal{V} = \left\{ v \in Y^{3/4} : v(x) \in [0,1], \ \|v\| \leq 1 \right\}.$$

*Let us notice that the functional of action for the equation with homogenous exterior boundary condition related to $F_{u,v}$ defined in* (17), *and to $\mathcal{F}_k$ defined in* (21) *has the following form*

$$F(z) = \frac{\hat{c}}{2} \int\limits_Q \frac{|z(x) - z(y)|^2}{|x-y|^{\frac{9}{2}}} dxdy - \int\limits_{\Omega} \left( z^{\frac{7}{2}}(x) - \frac{\gamma}{2} u(x) z^2(x) - u(x) z^{\frac{5}{2}}(x) \sin^2|x| \right) dx \tag{28}$$

*where $z \in X_0^{3/4}$. It is easy to check that all assumption $(C1) - (C5)$ are satisfied by the function*

$$G(x, z(x), u(x)) = z^{7/2}(x) - \frac{\gamma}{2} u(x) z^2(x) - u(x) z^{5/2}(x) \sin^2|x|.$$

*Applying Corollary 5.5 we have that for any $u \in \mathcal{U}$ and $v \in \mathcal{V}$ there exists a weak solution $z_{u,v} \in Y^{3/4}$ to problem* (27) *and the set of weak solutions continuously, or upper semicontinuously, depends on boundary data $v \to 0$ and distributed parameter $u$.*

**Remark 5.7** *Let us observe that putting, $\widetilde{z}_k(x) = k\rho_1(x)$ where $\rho_1$ is the positive first eigenfunction of the fractional Laplace operator defined in* (3) *with $\alpha = \frac{3}{2}$ we get for some $\delta > 0$*

$$\begin{aligned} F(\widetilde{z}_k) &\leq \frac{\hat{c}}{2} k^2 \|\rho_1\|^2_{X_0^{\alpha/2}} - k^{7/2} \|\rho_1\|^{7/2}_{L^{7/2}} - \frac{\gamma}{2} \|u\|_{L^2} k^2 \|\rho_1\|^2_{L^4} + k^{5/2} \|u\|_{L^{\infty}} \|\rho_1\|^{5/2}_{L^{5/2}} \\ &\leq -k^{7/2} \left( \|\rho_1\|^{7/2}_{L^{7/2}} + k^{-\delta} A \right) \to -\infty \end{aligned}$$

*as $k \to \infty$ for $F$ is defined in* (28). *Moreover, for a sequence $\overline{z}_k(x) = \rho_k(x)$ such that*

$$(-\Delta)^{3/4} \rho_k = \lambda_k \rho_k$$

$\|\rho_k\|_{L^2} = 1$ *and* $\|\rho_k\|_{L^\infty} \leq \tilde{C}$ *for some positive constant* $\tilde{C}$ *we obtain*

$$F(\overline{z}_k) \geq \frac{\hat{c}}{2}\lambda_k \|\rho_k\|_{L^2}^2 - C = \frac{\hat{c}}{2}\lambda_k - C \to \infty$$

*as $k \to \infty$ and $\lambda_k \sim k^{1/2}$ (cf. for example [23]) while $C$ depends on $\|u\|_{L^\infty}$ and $\|\rho_k\|_{L^\infty}$ in a bounded way. Consequently, the functional $F$ given in* (28) *is unbounded both from above and below. For that reason to obtain the existence results we cannot use methods applied, for example, in [13].*

# 6 Optimal control problem

Following ideas employed for optimal control system in [31] by a direct application of Corollary 5.5 following from Theorem 5.2 the existence of optimal processes can be ascertained for the optimal control problem involving the weak formulation of the differential equation with the fractional Laplacian and some nonlinearity of the form

$$(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} z(x) = G_z(x, z(x), u(x)) \text{ in } \Omega \tag{29}$$

with the fixed exterior boundary condition

$$z(x) = v(x) \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega \tag{30}$$

and with the integral cost functional $J$ defined via $\Phi$ as

$$J(z,u) = \int_\Omega \Phi(x, z(x), u(x))\, dx \tag{31}$$

where $\Phi : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}$ is a given function and a control $u \in \mathcal{U}_\lambda$ where

$$\mathcal{U}_\lambda = \{u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}^m : u(x) \in U \text{ and } |u(x_1) - u(x_2)| < \lambda\ |x_1 - x_2|\}$$

for a fixed $\lambda > 0$ and $U$ a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$. Recall that $\alpha \in (0,2)$, $n > \alpha$ and $m \geq 1$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the set of all admissible pairs; that is

$$\mathcal{A} = \Big\{(z,u) \in Y^{\alpha/2} \times \mathcal{U}_\lambda : \ z \text{ is a weak solution of (29) satisfying (30) corresponding to the critical value } \mathbf{c}_k \text{ of the functional } F_{u,v} \text{ defined in (17) for } u \in \mathcal{U}_\lambda\Big\}.$$

It should be noted that Corollary 5.5 implies that the set of all admissible pairs $\mathcal{A}$ is nonempty. In this section, our aim is to find a pair $(z_{u^*}, u^*) \in Y^{\alpha/2} \times \mathcal{U}_\lambda$ satisfying

$$J(z_{u^*}, u^*) = \min_{(z,u)\in\mathcal{A}} J(z,u). \tag{32}$$

The function $\Phi$ is required to satisfy:

(C6) the function $\Phi$ is measurable with respect to $x$ for any $(z,u) \in \mathbb{R} \times U$ and continuous with respect to $(z,u) \in \mathbb{R} \times U$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$;

(C7) there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$|\Phi(x, z, u)| \leq c(1 + |z|^s),$$

for $z \in \mathbb{R}$, $u \in U$ and a.e. $x \in \Omega$. where $s \in [1, 2^*_\alpha)$.

The theorem on the existence of an optimal process to problem (32) can now be formulated.

**Theorem 6.1** *If the functions $G$ and $\Phi$ satisfy conditions $(C1) - (C7)$, then optimal control problem (32) possesses at least one optimal process $(z_{u^*}, u^*) \in Y^{\alpha/2} \times \mathcal{U}_\lambda$.*

**Proof.** By $(C6)$ and $(C7)$ the cost functional is well-defined and continuous with respect to $(z, u) \in \mathbb{R} \times U$ variables. Let $(z_k, u_k)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be a minimizing sequence for problem $(29) - (31)$, i.e. $u_k \in \mathcal{U}_\lambda$, the equation $(-\Delta)^{\alpha/2} z_k(x) = G_z(x, z_k(x), u_k(x))$ is satisfied in a weak sense cf. (16) and moreover $z_k = v$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \backslash \Omega$ and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} J(z_k, u_k) = \inf_{(z,u)\in\mathcal{A}} J(z, u).$$

Entire class $\mathcal{U}_\lambda$ is equicontinuous and uniformly bounded, so certainly $\{u_k\}$ is such as well. By Arzéla-Ascoli's Theorem, there exists a subsequence $\{u_k\}$ such that $u_k \to u_0$ uniformly on $\Omega$ and $u_0 \in \mathcal{U}_\lambda$. By Corollary 5.5 the sequence $\{z_k\}$, or at least some of its subsequence, tends to $z_0$ in $Y^{\alpha/2}$ and $(z_0, u_0) \in \mathcal{A}$, thus $J(z_0, u_0) = \inf_{(z,u)\in\mathcal{A}} J(z, u)$. It means that the process $(z_0, u_0)$ is optimal for (32). ■

Analogous result for another class of controls $\mathcal{U}_{\Omega(r)}$ can be proved. By $\Omega(r)$ we denote a fixed decomposition of $\Omega$ on $r$ open subsets $\Omega_i$ such that $\bigcup_{i=1}^r \Omega_i \subset \Omega$, $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^r \Omega_i) = \mu(\Omega)$ and $\Omega_i \cap \Omega_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, $i, j = 1, ..., r$ with $\mu$ being the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^n$.
We shall say that a function $u$ is constant on $\Omega(r)$ if $u$ is constant on each subset from decomposition $\Omega(r)$, i.e. $u(x) = \text{const}_i$ for $x \in \Omega_i$, $i = 1, ..., r$. Finally for the following class of controls

$$\mathcal{U}_{\Omega(r)} = \{u \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^m) : u(x) \in U \text{ and } u \text{ is constant on } \Omega(r)\}$$

where $U$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$, analogously to the proof of Theorem 6.1 one can prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 6.2** *If the functions $G$ and $\Phi$ satisfy conditions $(C1) - (C7)$, then optimal control problem (32) possesses at least one optimal process $(z_{u^*}, u^*) \in Y^{\alpha/2} \times \mathcal{U}_{\Omega(r)}$.*

# References


[1] D. Applebaum, Lévy processes - from probability to finance and quantum groups, Notices Amer. Math. Soc., **51** (2004), 1336–1347.

[2] N. Aronszajn, Boundary values of functions with finite Dirichlet integral, Techn. Report of Univ. of Kansas, **14** (1955), 77–94.

[3] J-P. Aubin and H. Frankowska, *Set-Valued Analysis*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2009.

[4] G. Autuori and P. Pucci, Elliptic problems involving the fractional Laplacian in $\mathbb{R}^N$, J. Differential Equations, **255** (2013), 2340–2362.

[5] B. Barrios, E. Colorado, A. de Pablo, and U. Sanchez, On some critical problems for the fractional Laplacian operator, J. Differential Equations, **252** (2012), 6133–6162.

[6] A. Bermudez and C. Saguez, Optimal control of a Signorini problem, SIAM J. Control Optim., **25** (1987), 576–582.

[7] K. Bogdan and T. Byczkowski, Potential theory for the $\alpha$-stable Schrödinger operator on bounded Lipschitz domain, Studia Math., **133** (1999), 53–92.

[8] K. Bogdan and T. Byczkowski, Potential theory of Schrödinger operator based on fractional Laplacian, Probab. Math. Statist., **20** (2000), 293–335.

[9] K. Bogdan, T. Byczkowski, T. Kulczycki, M. Ryznar, R. Song, and Z. Vondracek, *Potential Theory of Stable Processes and its Extensions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1980, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2009.

[10] M. Bonforte and J. L. Vázquez, A priori estimates for fractional nonlinear degenerate diffusion equations on bounded domains, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., **218** (2015), 317–362.

[11] D. Bors, Superlinear elliptic systems with distributed and boundary controls, Control Cybernet., **34** (2005), 987-1004.

[12] D. Bors, Stability of nonlinear Dirichlet BVPs governed by fractional Laplacian, Sci. World J., **920537** (2014), pp. 10.

[13] D. Bors, Global solvability of Hammerstein equations with applications to BVP involving fractional Laplacian, Abstr. Appl. Anal., **240863** (2013), pp. 10.

[14] C. Brändle, E. Colorado, A. de Pablo, and U. Sanchez, A concave-convex elliptic problem involving the fractional Laplacian, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A, **143** (2013), 39–71.

[15] X. Cabré and Y. Sire, Nonlinear equations for fractional Laplacians I: Regularity, maximum principles, and Hamiltonian estimates, Ann. I.H.Poincaré, **31** (2014), 23–53.

[16] X. Cabré and Y. Sire, Nonlinear equations for fractional Laplacians II: Existence, uniqueness, and qualitative properties of solutions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **367** (2015), 911–941.

[17] X. Cabré and J. Tan, Positive solutions of nonlinear problems involving the square root of the Laplacian, Adv. Math., **224** (2010), 2052–2093.

[18] L. A. Caffarelli, Further regularity for the Signorini problem, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **4** (1979), 1067–1075.

[19] L. A. Caffarelli, S. Salsa, and L. Silvestre, Regularity estimates for the solution and the free boundary of the obstacle problem for the fractional Laplacian, Invent. Math., **171** (2008), 425–461.

[20] L. A. Caffarelli and L. Silvestre, An extension problem related to the fractional Laplacian, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, **32** (2007), 1245–1260.

[21] L. A. Caffarelli and A. Vasseur, Drift diffusion equations with fractional diffusion and the quasi-geostrophic equation, Ann. of Math. (2), **171** (2010), 1903–1930.

[22] A. Capella, Solutions of a pure critical exponent problem involving the half-Laplacian in annular-shaped domains, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., **10** (2011) 1645–1662.

[23] Z.-Q. Chen and R. Song, Two-sided eigenvalue estimate for subordinate Brownian motion in bounded domain, J. Funct. Anal., **226** (2005), 90–113.

[24] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, and E. Valdinoci, Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces, Bull. Sci. Math., **136** (2012), 521–573.

[25] M. Felsinger, M. Kassmann, and P. Voigt, The Dirichlet problem for nonlocal operators, Math. Z., **279** (2015), 779–809.

[26] M. Ferrara, L. Guerrini, and B. Zhang, Multiple solutions for perturbed non-local fractional Laplacian equations, Electron. J. Differential Equations, **2013** (2013), 1–10.

[27] A. Fiscella, R. Servadei, and E. Valdinoci, A resonance problem for non-local elliptic operators, Z. Anal. Anwend., **32** (2013), 411–431.

[28] E. Gagliardo, Proprietà di alcune classi di funzioni in più variabili, Ric. Mat., **7** (1958), 102–137.

[29] D. Idczak and A. Rogowski, On a generalization of Krasnoselskii's theorem, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B, **72** (2002), 389-394.

[30] T. Kulczycki and R. Stańczy, Multiple solutions for Dirichlet nonlinear BVPs involving fractional Laplacian, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B, **19** (2014), 2581–2591.

[31] J. Macki and A. Strauss, *Introduction to Optimal Control Theory*, Springer, New York, 1982.

[32] J. Mawhin and M. Willem, *Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems,* Springer, New York, 1989.

[33] G. Molica Bisci and R. Servadei, A bifurcation result for non-local fractional equations, Anal. Appl., **13**, 371 (2015) DOI: 10.1142/S0219530514500067.

[34] R. H. Nocheto, E. Otárola, and A. J. Salgado, A PDE approach to fractional diffusion in general domains: a priori error analysis, arXiv:1302.0698

[35] P. H. Rabinowitz, *Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations*, CBMS Reg. Conf. Ser. Math. 65, Amer. Math. Soc., 1986.

[36] X. Ros-Oton and J. Serra, The Dirichlet problem for the fractional Laplacian: regularity up to the boundary, J. Math. Pures Appl., **101** (2014), 275-302.

[37] X. Ros-Oton and J. Serra, Fractional Laplacian: Pohozhaev identity and nonexistence results, C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris, **350** (2012), 505–508.

[38] R. Servadei, Infinitely many solutions for fractional Laplace equations with subcritical nonlinearity, Contemp. Math., **595** (2013), 317–340.

[39] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, Variational methods for non-local operators of elliptic type, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., **33** (2013), 2105–2137.

[40] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, Mountain Pass solutions for non-local elliptic operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **389** (2012), 887–898.

[41] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, Lewy-Stampacchia type estimates for variational inequalities driven by (non)local operators, Rev. Mat. Iberoam., **29** (2013), 1091–1126.

[42] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, On the spectrum of two different fractional operators, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A., **144 (**2014), 831–855.

[43] R. Servadei and E. Valdinoci, Weak and viscosity solutions of the fractional Laplace equation, Publ. Mat. (2014), 133–154.

[44] L. N. Slobodeckij, Generalized Sobolev spaces and their applications to boundary value problems of partial differential equations, Gos. Ped. Inst. Učep. Zap. Leningrad, **197** (1958), 54–112.

[45] M. Struwe, *Variational Methods. Applications to Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Hamiltonian Systems*, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1990.

[46] J. L. Vázquez, *Nonlinear Diffusion with Fractional Laplacian Operators: Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations: the Abel Symposium 2010*, Holden, Helge & Karlsen, Kenneth H. (Eds.), Springer (2012), 271–298.

[47] J. L. Vázquez, Recent progress in the theory of nonlinear diffusion with fractional Laplacian operators, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S, **7** (2014), 857–885.

[48] E. Valdinoci, From the long jump random walk to the fractional Laplacian, Bol. Soc. Esp. Mat. Apl. SeMA, **49** (2009), 33–44.